arXiv:1602.08891v1 [math.OA] 29 Feb 2016

A Mackey-Analogy-based proof of the Connes-Kasparov Isomorphism for Real Reductive Groups

Alexandre Afgoustidis*

December 30, 2015

Abstract

We give a new representation-theory based proof of the Connes-Kasparov conjecture for the K-theory of reduced $C^\star$-algebras of real reductive Lie groups. Our main tool is a natural correspondence between the tempered representation theory of such a group and that of its Cartan motion group, a semidirect product group whose unitary dual and reduced $C^\star$-algebra are much more tractable. With that tool in hand, our proof is a natural adaptation of that given by Nigel Higson's work in the complex semi-simple case.

1 Introduction

When G is a second countable locally compact group, there is a natural topology on the unitary dual $\widehat{G}$ (the set of equivalence classes of unitary representations of G). It is known as the Fell topology, and defined by declaring that the closure of a subset $S \subset \widehat{G}$ is the set of equivalence classes of representations whose every matrix element is a limit, for the topology of uniform convergence of compact subsets of G, of matrix coefficients of elements of S.

The Fell topology on $\widehat{G}$ is in general very wild, and studying the topological space $\widehat{G}$ directly is usually difficult. An indirect, often fruitful approach is to study a suitable completion of the convolution algebra $C_c^\infty(G)$ of continuous and compactly supported functions on G. The completion will be a noncommutative algebra, and in the spirit of Connes' noncommutative geometry, the various completions may be thought of as noncommutative replacements for the (not very helpful) space of continuous functions on $\widehat{G}$.

A particularly important completion is the *reduced $C^\star$ algebra* of G. When f is an element of $C_c^\infty(G)$, it can be viewed as a bounded (convolution) operator on the Hilbert space $\mathbf{L}^2(G)$, and that operator has a norm, say $\|f\|$; the reduced $C^\star$ algebra $C_r^\star(G)$ is the completion of $C_c^\infty(G)$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|$. The dual of $C_r^\star(G)$ as a $C^\star$ algebra is not all of $\widehat{G}$, but an important subset $\widehat{G}_r \subset \widehat{G}$ called the reduced dual; it gathers the irreducible representations that are, loosely speaking, necessary to "decompose" the regular representation of G on $\mathbf{L}^2(G)$ into irreducibles.

*CEREMADE (UMR CNRS no. 7534), Université Paris-Dauphine, Place du Maréchal de Lattre de Tassigny, 75775 Paris Cedex 16, France, *and* Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu Paris Rive Gauche, Université Paris Diderot, Bâtiment Sophie Germain, 75013, Paris, France. Email: afgoustidis@ceremade.dauphine.fr

The *Baum-Connes conjecture* describes the *K-theory* of $C_r^\star(G)$, to be thought of as a "non-commutative" replacement for the Atiyah-Hirzebruch K-theory of $\widehat{G}_r$, in terms of the proper actions of G and a universal example thereof (see [4] for the formulation of the conjecture, and [13] for the K-theory). Because of its generality (it encodes very nontrivial features of both Lie groups and discrete groups, and it has an analogue for groupoids which makes it suitable for the study of foliations), and its deep connections with, and important implications in, geometry, index theory and topology, it has been a leading problem in operator algebra theory for more than thirty years; its study is still a very active field.

The Connes-Kasparov isomorphism. When G is a connected *Lie group*, the conjecture is equivalent to the assertion that the reduced dual of G can be accounted for, at least at the level of K-theory, with the help of Dirac operators. Suppose K is a maximal compact subgroup of G, and $R(K)$ is the representation ring of K – whose underlying abelian group is freely generated by the equivalence classes of irreducible K-modules. Starting from an irreducible K-module, and after going up to a two-fold covering of G and K if necessary, one can build an equivariant spinor bundle on G/K and a natural G-invariant elliptic operator (the Dirac operator) acting on its sections; this operator has an index which can be refined into an element of the K-theory group $\mathcal{K}[C^\star(G)]$. This produces a morphism of abelian groups

$$R(K) \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{K}[C^\star(G)] \tag{1}$$

called Dirac induction; the *Connes-Kasparov conjecture* is the statement that μ is an isomorphism.

The case in which G is a *reductive* Lie group is quite special, because then $\widehat{G}_r$ underwent very intense scrutiny between the 1950s and the 1980s, and has been understood completely by Harish-Chandra and a few others who completed his work. As a topological space, $\widehat{G}_r$ is in addition much more reasonable than the reduced duals studied by the full-blown Baum-Connes conjecture: it is (roughly speaking) close to being a real affine variety. Yet the reductive case is a very important one: a major source for the formulation of the Baum-Connes conjecture was the discovery, due to Parthasarathy, Atiyah and Schmid, that Harish-Chandra's deep work on the discrete series of real semisimple Lie groups can be geometrically recovered with the help of Dirac operators on G/K and their $\mathbf{L}^2$-index theory [20, 2]. We shall see at the end of the current paragraph that the reductive case is also the key to the case of general Lie groups.

The Connes-Kasparov conjecture has already been proved; the case of reductive Lie groups has in fact been addressed twice, with two completely different strategies.

Wassermann proved it in a famous short note of 1987 [28]. He used the comprehensive knowledge of $C_r^\star(G)$ extracted by Arthur [3] from Harish-Chandra's monumental work, and his proof consists in an explicit calculation of the right-hand side of (1) and the arrow therein. This followed earlier treatment of special cases from the same perspective: the important but simpler case of complex semisimple groups had been covered by Pennington and Plymen [21], and the case of real rank-one groups by Valette [24].

Fifteen years later, Lafforgue obtained a very different proof as a byproduct of a deep study of the actions of groups on Banach spaces and the properties of K-theory ([17]; see also [22]); he found a way to the Baum-Connes isomorphism that is not only very well-suited to reductive Lie groups, but also encompasses reductive p-adic groups as well as some discrete subgroups which had resisted every approach before his. His strategy is

almost orthogonal to that of Wassermann, because it succeeds in replacing most of the arsenal of representation theory by a few simple (but far-reaching) facts on the distance to the origin in G/K and on Harish Chandra's elementary spherical function. Lafforgue did not neglect representation theory: he proved that the Connes-Kasparov conjecture actually *implies* that the discrete series can be accounted for with the help of Dirac equations on G/K [18].

Lafforgue's general framework proved flexible enough to be amenable to the extensions needed to prove that (1) is also an isomorphism when G is an *arbitrary* (connected[1]) Lie group. Chabert, Etcherhoff and Nest proved that (1) is an isomorphism in 2003 [7] by using the fact that an arbitrary Lie group splits as a semidirect product of a reductive and a nilpotent group; the Mackey machine for studying group extensions enabled them to deduce the Connes-Kasparov conjecture from Lafforgue's results on the reductive case.

Lie group deformations and the Mackey analogy. In a relatively recent paper [10], Higson offered a third proof of the Connes-Kasparov conjecture in the special case of complex semisimple Lie groups. It is based on a refomulation of the Connes-Kasparov conjecture in terms of Lie group *deformations* (or *contractions* in the historical terminology of Inonü and Wigner [12]).

Suppose G is a connected Lie group, K is a maximal compact subgroup, and write G_0 for the semidirect product $K \ltimes (\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k})$ associated to the adjoint action of K on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}$ (here $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{k}$ denote the Lie algebras of G and K). Then there is a "continuous" family of groups $\{G_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ which interpolates between $G_1 := G$ and G_0 (see section 4 below, as well as section 6 in [10], for precise statements).

This deformation gives rise to a continuous field $\{C^\star(G_t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ of algebras; Connes and Higson had observed in the 1990s ([6], section 4 in [4] and section 10.β in Chapter 2 of [5]) that the Connes-Kasparov conjecture is equivalent with the fact that this field has *constant* K-theory – in spite of the important difference in structure between $C_r^\star(G_0)$ and $C_r^\star(G)$.

As Connes and Higson insisted, the validity of the Connes-Kasparov conjecture then pointed to an intruiging phenomenon occuring at the level of representation theory: in fact, the reformulation of the Connes-Kasparov conjecture in terms of G_0 echoed enthusiastic observations by G. W. Mackey [19] on a possible relationship between the representation theories of G and G_0 when G is a semisimple Lie group. Mackey had conjectured that there were deep, though surprising, analogies between $\widehat{G}_r$ and $\widehat{G_0}$, and Connes and Higson's observations strongly invited to view the isomorphism (1) a simple K-theoretic reflection of these analogies.

Higson took up that idea in more detail in 2008 in the special case of complex semisimple groups; he showed that there is a natural bijection between $\widehat{G}_r$ and $\widehat{G_0}$ in that case, and that analyzing the analogies between $C_r^\star(G_0)$ and $C_r^\star(G)$ in terms of this bijection leads to a proof of the Connes-Kasparov conjecture . This way to the Connes-Kasparov isomorphism takes one through the fine structure of representation theory, but instead of using it for a direct calculation of (1) as Wassermann, Pennington and Plymen had successfully done, it expresses the Connes-Kasparov isomorphism as a relatively natural consequence of an easily stated, but actually rather subtle, fact on $\widehat{G}_r$. An appealing feature of Higson's approach from this point of view is that only simple and quite general facts about K-theory are needed, and that no K-theory group need be written down explicitly. His results have been generalized to Lie groups with a finite number of connected components

[1]It is important for their study that the connectivity hypothesis be dropped, but then the Connes-Kasparov conjecture can no longer be formulated with (1).

and a complex semisimple identity component in a recent paper of Skukalek [23].

Contents of this note. The representation theory of complex semisimple groups is famous for being much simpler than that of general reductive groups: for instance, the existence of the discrete series (and with it the need for the bulk of Harish-Chandra's work) is a specific feature of the real case. Higson's analysis rests on representation-theoretic facts which, on the surface, may look quite special to the complex case; it is reasonable to wonder whether his strategy can be adapted to real reductive groups.

I have recently exhibited a bijection between $\widehat{G}_r$ and $\widehat{G_0}$ in the real case [1]. In the present note, I use it to prove that Higson's method can indeed be taken up to obtain a proof of the Connes-Kasparov isomorphism for real reductive Lie groups. I should warn the reader that once the results of [1] are brought into the picture, very few ideas need to be added to those in [10] to obtain the results to be described below: I am thus merely going to say how the complex-case-dependent details of Higson's work need to be adapted in order to cover to the case of real groups. As a consequence, I shall use many of the notations and lemmas in [10].

Higson's proof uses Vogan's notion of minimal K-type for the representations of reductive groups [25, 26, 27]. It is assembled from the following four observations.

(a) When G is a complex semisimple Lie group, there is a natural bijection between the reduced duals of G and G_0, and it is compatible with minimal K-types;

(b) To a given set of minimal K-types one can associate a subquotient of the reduced $C^\star$ algebra of G and a subquotient of that of G_0, these subquotients have the same dual (viewed as a topological space), and each is Morita-equivalent with the algebra of continuous functions (vanishing at infinity) on the common dual,

(c) There is a continuous family $(G_t)_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ of groups interpolating between G and G_0, and given a set of minimal K-types, the road from the corresponding subquotient for $C_r^\star(G_t)$ to the algebra of continuous functions on the common dual varies[2] smoothly with t, even at $t = 0$;

(d) K theory is cohomological in nature, and it is homotopy- and Morita-invariant.

Point (a) is the part most obviously related to the work in [1], and (d) does not depend on the group under consideration; what I am going to argue below is that the part of (a) related to minimal K-types is indeed true, and that in spite of the non-uniqueness of minimal K-types in the real case, the details Higson gave for (b) and (c) can be carried over to real reductive groups without any pain. What is perhaps most surprising in the results of this note is that one should meet no obstacle on the way from complex groups to real groups except those which come from the non-uniqueness of minimal K-types (and which are very easily overcome here).

This note is organized as follows. Section 2 below deals with (a) by proving the assertion about minimal K-types. Section 3 deals with (b); it follows [10] closely – because the subquotients to be defined have a slightly more complicated dual, I merely add some observations furnished by the Knapp-Zuckerman classification of tempered representations

[2]This is admittedly rather vague! I am referring to sections 6.2 and 6.3 of his paper here, and the precise statement to be made below is Proposition B in section 4.

and Vogan's results on minimal K-types. Sections 4 and 5 complete the proof of the Connes-Kasparov isomorphism by showing that the results of [10] related with (c) and (d) actually hold without any substantial modification.

Acknowledgments. I thank Daniel Bennequin, Nigel Higson and Georges Skandalis for useful discussions.

2 The Mackey analogy for real groups and minimal K-types

2.1 A bijection between the reduced duals

Let G be a linear connected reductive group. Let me describe the bijection between the tempered dual $\widehat{G}_r$ and $\widehat{G}_0$ used in [1].

Fix a maximal compact subgroup K of G, and let $\mathfrak{p}$ be the orthogonal of $\mathfrak{k}$ in $\mathfrak{g}$ with respect to the Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$, so that G_0 is isomorphic with the semidirect product $K \ltimes \mathfrak{p}$. For the remainder of this note, fix a maximal abelian subalgebra $\mathfrak{a}$ in $\mathfrak{p}$. When χ is an element of the dual $\mathfrak{a}^\star$, write K_χ for the stabilizer of χ in $\mathfrak{a}^\star$ (for the coadjoint action).

A *Mackey datum* is then a couple (χ, μ) in which χ is in $\mathfrak{a}^\star$ and μ is an irreducible K_χ-module. The set of Mackey data is naturally equipped with an action of the Weyl group $W = W(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$, and thus with an equivalence relation (see section 2.3 in [1]).

If (χ, μ) is a Mackey datum, then one can define a unitary irreducible representation of G_0 by setting

$$\mathbf{M}_0(\chi, \mu) = \mathrm{Ind}_{K_\chi \ltimes \mathfrak{p}}^{G_0} \left[\mu \otimes e^{i\chi} \right]$$

(a realization tailored to our purposes, the "compact picture", will be recalled in section 3.3.2 below).

On the other hand, one can define a cuspidal parabolic subgroup $M_\chi A_\chi N_\chi$ of G with the property that the reductive group M_χ admits K_χ as a maximal compact subgroup, and χ can be viewed as an element of $\mathfrak{a}_\chi^\star$ (see [1], section 3.2).

We shall need Vogan's notion of minimal K-type; let me introduce a bit of notation. If G is a reductive Lie group in Harish-Chandra's class and K is a maximal compact subgroup in it, once a maximal torus T is fixed in K and a positive root system is chosen for the pair $(\mathfrak{k}_\mathbb{C}, \mathfrak{t}_\mathbb{C})$, Vogan defines a partial ordering on $\widehat{K}$: if ρ is the half-sum of positive roots with respect to the given ordering, then writing $\tilde{\lambda}$ for the highest weight of an element λ in $\widehat{K}$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for the inner product inherited from the Killing form, the K-types can be partially ordered according to the value of $\|\lambda\|_{\widehat{K}} := \langle \tilde{\lambda} + 2\rho, \tilde{\lambda} + 2\rho \rangle$.[3]

Vogan proved that if M is a reductive group and K_M a maximal compact subgroup in M , then by considering the map which associates its minimal K_M-type to an irreducible tempered representation of a reductive group M which has real infinitesimal character, one obtains a bijection onto $\widehat{K_M}$. Returning to a Mackey datum (χ, μ), one can then define an irreducible tempered M_χ-module with real infinitesimal character and minimal K_χ-type μ,

[3] At some point in the proof of Proposition 2.1 below, I will talk also about the minimal $K \cap M$ types of representations of a reductive subgroup M of G, and for this I shall use the map $\lambda \mapsto \|\lambda\|_{\widehat{K \cap M}}$ associated with the root ordering inherited from those already chosen.

say $\mathbf{V}_{M_\chi}(\mu)$.[4] We can then set

$$\mathbf{M}(\chi,\mu) = \mathrm{Ind}_{M_\chi A_\chi N_\chi}^{G}\left[\mathbf{V}_{M_\chi}(\mu)\otimes e^{i\chi+\rho}\right]$$

where ρ is the half-sum of positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{a}_\chi)$ for the ordering used to define N_χ (a realization tailored to our purposes, the "induced picture", will be recalled in section 3.2.4 below).

The starting point for [1] is that $\mathbf{M}_0$ defines a bijection between the set of equivalence classes of Mackey data and the unitary dual of G_0, while $\mathbf{M}$ defines a bijection between the same set and the reduced dual of G.

Remark. In [1], I stayed within the class of linear connected reductive groups with compact center, for fear of using too large a class of reductive groups (since the geometry and Killing-form-induced curvature of G/K played a key role in [1], it was best for that space to be a riemannian symmetric space with negative curvature). However, the definition of the above map between $\widehat{G}_r$ and $\widehat{G_0}$ goes through if the assumption on the center is dropped. The proof that this map provides a bijection between $\widehat{G}_r$ and $\widehat{G}_0$ (which, besides Vogan's results, only uses the Knapp-Zuckerman classification of irreducible tempered representations of linear connected reductive groups with compact center) extends to groups with arbitrary center in an obvious way – one needs only factor both G and G_0 by the vector part of their center. As a consequence, what I just recalled does define a bijection between $\widehat{G}_r$ and $\widehat{G_0}$ when G is linear connected reductive. What I am about to say of the Connes-Kasparov isomorphism will also hold for that class of groups.

2.2 This bijection is compatible with minimal K-types

2.1. Proposition. *When δ is a Mackey datum, the minimal K-types of $\mathbf{M}(\delta)$ and those of $\mathbf{M}_0(\delta)$ coincide.*

Let me first note that as a K-module, $\mathbf{M}_0(\chi,\mu)$ is isomorphic with $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\chi}^{K}(\mu)$. So what I have to show can be rephrased as follows.

2.1.1. Lemma. *The representations $M(\chi,\mu)$ and $Ind_{K_\chi}^{K}(\mu)$ have the same minimal K-types.*

Proof. The definition of induced representations shows that $M(\chi,\mu)$ is isomorphic, as a K-module, with $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\chi}^{K}\left(\mathbf{V}(\mu)|_{K_\chi}\right)$. Of course that K-module contains $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\chi}^{K}(\mu)$.

Suppose α is a minimal K-type in $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\chi}^{K}\left(\mathbf{V}(\mu)|_{K_\chi}\right)$, but is not a minimal K-type in $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\chi}^{K}(\mu)$. Then there is μ_1 in $\widehat{K_\chi}$ such that α is a minimal K-type in $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\chi}^{K}(\mu_1)$, and since α must appear with multiplicity one in $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\chi}^{K}\left(\mathbf{V}(\mu)|_{K_\chi}\right)$, μ_1 must appear with multiplicity one in $\mathbf{V}(\mu)|_{K_\chi}$. Because the latter has only one minimal K_χ-type, $\|\mu_1\|_{K_\chi}$ must be greater than $\|\mu\|_K$.

If α were a minimal K-type in $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\chi}^{K}\left(\mathbf{V}(\mu_1)|_{K_\chi}\right)$, the representations $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\chi}^{K}\left(\mathbf{V}(\mu)|_{K_\chi}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\chi}^{K}\left(\mathbf{V}(\mu_1)|_{K_\chi}\right)$ would have a minimal K-type in common; but lemma 1.2.1.2 below

[4] Likewise, if M is a reductive group as above, I shall write $\widehat{K_M}\ni\mu\mapsto\mathbf{V}_M(\mu)$ for the inverse of Vogan's map.

(a reformulation of a theorem by Vogan) says this cannot happen.

Let then α_1 in $\widehat{K}$ be a minimal K-type in $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\chi}^K\left(\mathbf{V}(\mu_1)|_{K_\chi}\right)$. If α_1 were to appear in $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\chi}^K(\mu_1)$, it would appear in $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\chi}^K\left(\mathbf{V}(\mu)|_{K_\chi}\right)$, and that cannot be the case because α is already a minimal K-type there.

We end up with α_1 in $\widehat{K}$ and μ_1 in $\widehat{K_\chi}$ such that

- $\|\alpha_1\|_K < \|\alpha\|_K$ and $\|\mu_1\|_{K_\chi} > \|\mu\|_{K_\chi}$
- α_1 is a minimal K-type in $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\chi}^K\left(\mathbf{V}(\mu_1)|_{K_\chi}\right)$, but it is not a minimal K-type in $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\chi}^K(\mu_1)$.

This seems to trigger an infinite recursion, because the same argument can be used with $(\alpha_1, \mu_1$ instead of (α, μ); however, there are not infinitely many K-types which are strictly lower than α. Thus there cannot be any minimal K-type in $M(\chi, \mu)$ which is not also a minimal K-type in $\mathrm{Ind}_{K_\chi}^K(\mu)$: that is Lemma 2.1.1. □

2.1.2. Lemma. *Suppose MAN is a cuspidal parabolic subgroup of G, and μ_1, μ_2 are inequivalent irreducible $K \cap M$-modules. Then the representations $Ind_{K\cap M}^K(\mathbf{V}(\mu_1))$ and $Ind_{K\cap M}^K(\mathbf{V}(\mu_2))$ have no minimal K-type in common.*

Proof. When $\mathbf{V}(\mu_1)$ and $\mathbf{V}(\mu_2)$ are in the discrete series of M, this follows from Theorem 3.6 in [27] (see also Theorem 1 in the announcement [25], and of course [26]). In the other cases, this actually follows from the same result, but I need to give some precisions.

Let me assume that both $\mathbf{V}(\mu_1)$ and $\mathbf{V}(\mu_2)$ are either in the discrete series or nondegenerate limits of discrete series. Then both $\mathrm{Ind}_{MAN}^G(\mathbf{V}(\mu_1))$ and $\mathrm{Ind}_{MAN}^G(\mathbf{V}(\mu_2))$ are irreducible constituents of some representations induced from discrete series, from a larger parabolic subgroup if need be (see [15], Theorem 8.7). If $\mathrm{Ind}_{M^*A^*N^*}^G(\delta_1)$ (with δ_1 in the discrete series of $M^\star$) contains $\mathrm{Ind}_{MAN}^G(\mathbf{V}(\mu_1))$ as an irreducible constituent, it contains it with multiplicity one, and the set of minimal K-types are $\mathrm{Ind}_{M^*A^*N^*}^G(\delta_1)$ is the disjoint union of the sets of minimal K-types of its irreducible constituents (which are finite in number): see Theorem 15.9 in [14]. If $\mathrm{Ind}_{MAN}^G(\mathbf{V}(\mu_1))$ and $\mathrm{Ind}_{MAN}^G(\mathbf{V}(\mu_2))$ are constituents of the same representation induced from discrete series, then the lemma follows; if that is not the case we are now in a position to use Vogan's result to the two representations induced from discrete series under consideration (Vogan's disjointness-of-K-types theorem *is* true of reducible induced-from-discrete-series representations).

Now, if $\mathbf{V}(\mu_1)$, in spite of its real infinitesimal character, is neither in the discrete series of M nor a nondegenerate limit of discrete series, there is a smaller parabolic subgroup $M_\star A_\star N_\star$ and a discrete series or nondegenerate limit of discrete series representation ϵ_1 of $M_\star$ such that $\mathrm{Ind}_{K\cap M}^K(\mathbf{V}(\mu_1)) = \mathrm{Ind}_{K\cap M_\star}^K(\epsilon_1)$. If necessary, we can rewrite $\mathrm{Ind}_{K\cap M}^K(\mathbf{V}(\mu_2))$ in an analogous way; then we can use Vogan's result again, after some embeddings in reducible representations induced from discrete series as above if necessary. This proves the lemma. □

The proposition is now established. Let me record here a simple consequence of the very first steps in the proof.

2.2. Corollary. *In each irreducible representation of G_0, every minimal K-type occurs with multiplicity one.*

Proof. Induction is compatible with direct sums, so as a K-module,

$$M(\chi,\mu) = \mathrm{Ind}_{K_\chi}^K \left(\mathbf{V}(\mu)|_{K_\chi}\right) = \mathrm{Ind}_{K_\chi}^K (\mu) \oplus \tilde{M},$$

with $\tilde{M}$ induced from a (quite reducible) K_χ-module; as we saw in Lemma 2.1.1, none of the minimal K-types of $M(\chi,\mu)$ can occur in $\tilde{M}$, and the corollary follows. □

2.3. Remark. C. Y. George considered the tempered dual of $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$ and its Cartan motion group in his thesis [8]. In Chapter 4 there, he describes a set of conjectures which build a bijection between $\widehat{G}_r$ and $\widehat{G_{0r}}$ by requiring that it preserve minimal K-types. Proposition 2.1 shows that his conjectures are true and that the bijection they define coincides with that in [1].

3 Some distinguished subquotients of group C*-algebras

3.1 Notations on matrix coefficients

• When λ is an element in the unitary dual of a compact group K, I shall write $d(\lambda)$ for the dimension of the irreducible K-modules with that equivalence class.

• Suppose V_λ is an irreducible K-module with equivalence class λ, and write $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ for a K-invariant inner product on it. When v is a nonzero vector in V_λ, I set

$$\mathbf{p}_\lambda^v = k \mapsto \langle v, \lambda(k^{-1})v\rangle.$$

Note : When K is connected, the highest-weight vectors cut out a privileged one-dimensional subspace, and any unit highest-weight vector can be chosen for v, yielding a canonical choice for the corresponding matrix element; that is what Higson does in [10]. Because of a slight difference between the situation for real groups and that for complex groups, it will later be useful to choose the vector a bit differently and reassign the name: the rather heavy notation comes from that slight difference.

• I now take up some notations from Higson's paper. Suppose G is a connected unimodular Lie group, K is a compact subgroup, s is a smooth function on K and f is a smooth and compactly supported function on G. Choose a Haar measure on G and define two convolutions between s and f, two smooth and compactly supported functions on G, as

$$s \underset{K}{\star} f = g \mapsto \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(K)} \int_K s(k) f(k^{-1}g) dk \quad , \quad f \underset{K}{\star} s = g \mapsto \int_K f(kg) s(k^{-1}) dk.$$

Now suppose K is a compact Lie group, K_1 is a closed subgroup, (V,τ) is an irreducible representation of K with orthonormal basis $\{v_\alpha\}$ and W is a K_1-invariant *irreducible* subspace of V. Write $e_{\alpha\beta}$ for the matrix element $k \mapsto \dim(V)\langle\tau(k)v_\beta, v_\alpha\rangle$ (this is a smooth

function on K), and $d_{\alpha\beta}$ for $k \mapsto \dim(W)\langle\tau(k)v_\beta, v_\alpha\rangle$ when v_α and v_β lie in W – the restriction of $d_{\alpha\beta}$ to K_1 is a matrix element of $(W, \tau|_W)$. Then the Schur-Weyl orthogonality relations yield

$$e_{\alpha\beta} \underset{K}{\star} e_{\beta\gamma} = e_{\alpha\gamma}$$

$$d_{\alpha\beta} \underset{K_1}{\star} e_{\beta\gamma} = e_{\alpha\gamma} = d_{\alpha\beta} \underset{K_1}{\star} e_{\beta\gamma} \tag{2}$$

(for the second equality, it is to be assumed that v_α, v_β and v_γ lie in W). We also note that $e_{\alpha\beta}(k) = \overline{e_{\beta\alpha}(k^{-1})}$ for all k.

3.2 Subquotients of the reductive group's algebra

3.2.1. The definition.

Let us return to the notations of section 2.1. Define a set

$$\mathcal{K} \subset \left\{ \text{ finite subsets of } \widehat{K} \right\}$$

by declaring that $\mathcal{C}$ is in $\mathcal{K}$ when there is an irreducible tempered representation of G whose set of minimal K-types is $\mathcal{C}$. Note that in this case, $\|\cdot\|_{\widehat{K}}$ takes the same value on all the elements of $\mathcal{C}$.

We are going to associate a subquotient of the reduced C^*-algebra $C_r^\star(G)$ to every element of $\mathcal{K}$. Later on it will be convenient that the family subquotients obtained in this way be associated to an increasing sequence of ideals in $C_r^\star(G)$, so let us choose first a linear ordering

$$\mathcal{K} = \{\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, ...\}$$

in such a way that

- if the value of $\|\cdot\|_{\widehat{K}}$ on $\mathcal{C}_p$ is (strictly) smaller than that on $\mathcal{C}_q$, then $p < q$,
- if the values of $\|\cdot\|_{\widehat{K}}$ on $\mathcal{C}_p$ and $\mathcal{C}_q$ agree but the number of elements in $\mathcal{C}_p$ is (strictly) larger than that in $\mathcal{C}_q$, then $p < q$.

As soon as we choose choose an arbitrary nonzero vector v_λ in an irreducible K-module with class λ for each λ, and define a matrix element $\mathbf{p}_\lambda = p_\lambda^{v_\lambda}$ as above, we can define a closed ideal in $C_r^\star(G)$ by setting

$$\mathbf{J}[p] = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \mathcal{C}_p} C_r^\star(G)\mathbf{p}_\lambda C_r^\star(G),$$

and a subquotient of $C_r^\star(G)$ by setting

$$\mathbf{C}[p] = (\mathbf{J}[1] + ... + \mathbf{J}[p]) \,/\, (\mathbf{J}[1] + ... + \mathbf{J}[p-1]) \,.$$

The dual of $\mathbf{J}[p]$ then gathers the irreducible representations of G whose restriction to K contains every class in $\mathcal{C}_p$. The dual of $\mathbf{C}[p]$ gathers the irreducible representations of G whose set of minimal K-types is exactly $\mathcal{C}_p$.

Remark. If G is a *complex* semisimple Lie group, then every $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathcal{K}$ contains only one K-type. This leads to some simplifications in Higson's paper and is the reason for several

of the notational inconveniences encountered below.

3.2.2 A key lemma. Recall that minimal K-types occur with multiplicity one in irreducible tempered representations of reductive Lie groups. Suppose λ is in $\widehat{K}$ and π is an irreducible tempered representation of G, say on $\mathcal{H}$, containing λ as a minimal K-type. Then for every vector v in the subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ carrying the K-type λ, $\mathbf{p}_\lambda^v$ defines a projection in the multiplier algebra of $\mathbf{C}[p]$, and the projection $\pi(\mathbf{p}_\lambda^v)$ has rank one.

Lemma (Lemma 6.1 in [10]). *Let $\mathbf{C}$ be a C^*-algebra and $\mathbf{p}$ be a projection in the multiplier algebra of $\mathbf{C}$. If for every irreducible representation π of $\mathbf{C}$ the operator $\pi(\mathbf{p})$ is a rank-one projection, then*

- $\mathbf{CpC} = \mathbf{C}$*;*
- $\mathbf{pCp}$ *is a commutative C^*-algebra;*
- *the dual $\widehat{C}$ is a Hausdorff locally compact space;*
- *The map $a \mapsto \widehat{a}$ from $\mathbf{pCp}$ to $\mathcal{C}_0(\widehat{\mathbf{C}})$ that is defined by*

$$\pi(a) = \widehat{a}(\pi)\pi(\mathbf{p})$$

is an isomorphism of C^*-algebras.

3.2.3. Some precisions on the subquotient's dual. Part of Lemma 3.2.2 says that the dual of $\mathbf{C}[p]$ is a locally compact Hausdorff space. Let me give some precisions here by recording a simple consequence of Lemma 2.1.2 (Vogan's work) and the Knapp-Zuckerman classification.

I need to introduce some additional notations. Choose an family $P_1, ...P_r$ of nonconjugate cuspidal parabolic subgroups in G, with one element for each conjugacy class of cuspidal parabolic subgroups. Write $M_iA_iN_i$ for the Langlands decomposition of P_i, and K_i for the maximal compact subgroup $K \cap M_i$ in M_i. Anticipating the need for further notation, let me take this opportunity to write $M_i^{\mathfrak{p}}$ for $\exp_G(\mathfrak{m}_i \cap \mathfrak{p})$ and to recall that the Iwasawa map from $K \times (\mathfrak{m}_i \cap \mathfrak{p}) \times \mathfrak{a}_i \times \mathfrak{n}_i$ to G is a diffeomorphism (I use the obvious notation for the Lie algebras here).

Now, define a linear ordering $\widehat{K_i} = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, ...\}$ in such a way that if $\|\lambda_n\|_{\widehat{K_i}} < \|\lambda_m\|_{\widehat{K_i}}$, then $n < m$. By *discrete parameter*, I will now mean a couple (i, n) with i in $\{1, ..r\}$ and n in $\mathbb{N}$. Here is the fact on the dual of $\mathbf{C}[p]$ which I shall use:

Lemma. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an element of $\mathcal{K}$. There exists a discrete parameter (i_0, n_0) and a subset $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]$ of $\mathfrak{a}_{i_0}^\star$ which intersects every Weyl group orbit at most once, such that*

- $\mathbf{V}_{M_{i_0}}(\mu_{n_0})$ *is a discrete series or nondegenerate limit of discrete series representation of M_{i_0},*
- *Every irreducible tempered representation of G whose set of minimal K-types is $\mathcal{C}$ is equivalent with exactly one of the*

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{M_{i_0}}^G \left[\mathbf{V}_{M_{i_0}}(\mu_{n_0}) \otimes e^{i\chi+\rho}\right], \chi \in \widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p].$$

- *For every χ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]$, $P_\chi \supset P_{i_0}$ (in fact $M_\chi \supset M_{i_0}$, while $A_\chi \subset A_{i_0}$ and $N_\chi \subset N_{i_0}$).*

This identifies the dual of $\mathbf{C}[p]$ with $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]$ as a set; we shall see that when $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]$ is equipped with the topology that it inherits from Euclidean space, the identification becomes a homeomorphism.

Example. It might be useful to recall here that when $G = SL(2,\mathbb{R})$, the spherical principal series representation with nonzero continuous parameter have the same minimal K-types as the (irreducible) spherical principal series representation with continuous parameter zero, but that the nonspherical principal series representation with nonzero continuous parameter have two distinct minimal K-types and the nonspherical principal series representation with continuous parameter zero is reducible. So in that case $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]$ is either a closed half-line, an open half-line or a single point. In general $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]$ consists of an open Weyl chamber in a subspace of $\mathfrak{a}$, together with part of one of its walls, and that part is itself stratified analogously until one reaches a minimal dimension (which might be zero, but might not). Note that with the topology inherited from that of the Euclidean space $\mathfrak{a}^\star$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]$ is Hausdorff and locally compact.

3.2.4. An explicit formula for the isomorphism in Lemma 3.2.2.

Suppose a discrete parameter (i_0, n_0), and thus a class $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_p$, are fixed, and let us start with χ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]$.

In the Hilbert space $\mathcal{V}^{n_0}$ for a representation σ_{n_0} whose equivalence class is $\mathbf{V}_{M_{i_0}}(\mu_{n_0})$, consider the K_{i_0}-isotypical subspace W which corresponds to the K_{i_0}-type μ_{n_0}, and choose a basis $\{v_\alpha\}$ for it.

Let us choose **one** λ_p in $\mathcal{C}_p$. Since p will remain fixed until the end of section 4, I will remove the subscript p from λ. let me use the notation $\mathcal{H}_{i_0,n_0}^\chi$ for a Hilbert space carrying $\mathrm{Ind}_{M_{i_0}}^G \left[\mathbf{V}_{M_{i_0}}(\mu_{n_0}) \otimes e^{i\chi+\rho}\right]$ in the usual induced picture: the completion of

$$\left\{\xi : G \overset{\text{smooth}}{\underset{\text{comp. supp.}}{\longrightarrow}} \mathcal{V}^{n_0} \mid \xi(gman) = a^{-i\chi-\rho}\sigma_{n_0}(m^{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}\xi(g) \text{ for } \left(g, m^{\mathfrak{p}}, a, n\right) \in G \times M_{i_0}^{\mathfrak{p}} \times A_i \times N_i\right\}$$

in the norm associated to the inner product $\langle \xi_1, \xi_2 \rangle = \int_K \langle \xi_1(k), \xi_2(k) \rangle_{\mathcal{V}^{n_0}} dk$. I shall write $\pi_{n_0\chi}$ for the usual morphism from G to the unitary group of $\mathcal{H}_{i_0,n_0}^\chi$: $\pi_{n_0\chi}(g)\xi$ is

$x \mapsto \xi(g^{-1}x)$ for every (g,ξ) in $G \times \mathcal{H}^{\chi}_{i_0,n_0}$.

Upon decomposing the λ-isotypical K-invariant component in $\mathcal{H}^{\chi}_{i_0,n_0}$, say V, into K_{i_0}-invariant parts, Frobenius reciprocity says the K_{i_0}-type μ_{n_0} appears exactly once. Fix a K-equivariant identification between the corresponding K_{i_0}-irreducible subspace and W, write $\tilde{v}$ for the vector in the λ-isotypical subspace V which the identification assigns to any v in W. Now, choose an arbitrary v_0 in W (for notational "convenience", I shall assume that it is one of the v_α), and let me introduce a matrix coefficient of our irreducible K-module of type λ as

$$\mathbf{p}_\lambda = \mathbf{p}_\lambda^{v_0} = k \mapsto \langle \tilde{v}_0, \pi_{n_0\chi}(k)\tilde{v}_0 \rangle$$

(so although I am suppressing the superscript again, this time I choose a vector in W rather than any nonzero element the λ-isotypical subspace: for complex groups the two choices could be made to coincide because the natural choice was the highest-weight vector which automatically did lie in W, but that is a priori not the case here). Note that the smooth function on K which we just defined actually does not depend on χ.

Henceforth I shall assume that it is this matrix element that is chosen in the definition of $\mathbf{J}[p]$ and $\mathbf{C}[p]$.

The projection $\pi_{n_0\chi}(\mathbf{p}_\lambda)$ has rank one, and to make Lemma 3.2.2 explicit, I shall now *imitate* what Higson did in the complex case, and *use* the calculations he made for the Cartan motion group. A first step is to identify the range of $\pi_{n_0\chi}(\mathbf{p}_\lambda)$. Define a function from K to W as

$$\zeta_{n_0\chi} = k \mapsto \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d(\mu_{n_0})} \langle \pi_{n_0\chi}(k)\tilde{v}_\alpha, \tilde{v}_0 \rangle v_\alpha = \frac{1}{d(\lambda)} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{d(\mu_{n_0})} e_{\alpha_0\alpha}(k).$$

We can define a vector in the representation space $\mathcal{H}^{\chi}_{i_0,n_0}$ by extending $\zeta_{n_0\chi}$ to G, setting

$$\xi_{n_0\chi}(km^{\mathfrak{p}}an) = \frac{d(\lambda)^{1/2}}{\mathrm{vol}(K)^{1/2} d(\mu_{n_0})^{1/2}}\ e^{-i\chi-\rho}(a) \sum_\alpha \langle \pi_{n_0\chi}(k)\tilde{v}_\alpha, \tilde{v}_0 \rangle \cdot \sigma_{n_0}(m^{\mathfrak{p}})^{-1}\left[v_\alpha\right].$$

Lemma. *The operator $\pi(\mathbf{p}_\lambda)$ on $\mathcal{H}^{\chi}_{i_0,n_0}$ agrees with the orthogonal projection on $\xi_{n_0\chi}$.*

This is easily proved using the formula for the action of K and the inner product on the representation space, and a repeated application of the Schur-Weyl orthogonality relations.

Now put

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{f}[p]: \qquad & \widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p] \to \mathbb{C} \\ & \chi \mapsto \int_G f(g)\langle \xi_{n_0\chi}, \pi_{n_0\chi}(g)\xi_{n_0\chi} \rangle \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

as soon as f is a smooth and compactly supported function on G. If $\mathbf{p}_\lambda \underset{K}{\star} f \underset{K}{\star} \mathbf{p}_\lambda = f$, then $\pi_{n_0\chi}(f)$ is proportional to $\pi_{n_0\chi}(\mathbf{p}_\lambda)$, and given the definition of $\pi_{n_0\chi}(f)$, we see that $\pi_{n_0\chi}(f) = \widehat{f}[p](\chi)\ \pi_{n_0\chi}(\mathbf{p}_\lambda)$. This is a first step in making Lemma 3.2.2 explicit, and if I

add that $\widehat{f}[p]$ is continuous and vanishes at infinity as a function of χ (this will be obvious from the calculation below), I can summarize this in the following analogue of Lemma 6.10 in [10].

Proposition A. *By associating to any smooth and compactly supported function f on G such that $\mathbf{p}_\lambda \underset{K}{\star} f \underset{K}{\star} \mathbf{p}_\lambda = f$, the element $\widehat{f}[p]$ of $\mathcal{C}_0(\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p])$, one obtains a $C^\star$-algebra isomorphism between $\mathbf{p}_\lambda \mathbf{C}[p] \mathbf{p}_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{C}_0(\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p])$.*

A consequence which I already mentioned is that the assignation identifies the dual of $\mathbf{C}[p]$ with $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]$ homeomorphically.

$\star$

It will be important later on to have a completely explicit formula for $\widehat{f}[p]$, so I shall now record a closed form for (3) which will be useful in section 4.2 below.

Write α_0 for the one α such that $v_0 = v_\alpha$. For a, b in $\{1, ..d(\mu_{n_0})\}$ and χ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]$, set

$$\widehat{f}^{\,p}_{a,b} := \chi \mapsto \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(K)}{d(\lambda)} \int_{N_{i_0}} dn \int_{A_{i_0}} da\, a^{i\chi+\rho} \int_{M^{\mathfrak{p}}_{i_0}} dm \left(f \underset{K_1}{\star} d_{\alpha_0 a} \underset{K_1}{\star} d_{b\alpha_0} \right)(nam) \langle v_b, \sigma_{n_0}(m^{-1})\,[v_a] \rangle. \tag{4}$$

Lemma. *The element $\widehat{f}[p]$ of $\mathcal{C}_0(\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p])$ can be expressed as*

$$\widehat{f}[p] = \frac{1}{d(\mu_{n_0})} \sum_{a,b=1}^{d(\mu_{n_0})} \widehat{f}^{\,p}_{a,b}.$$

The proof consists in expanding on (3) by simple calculations which closely follow those on page 15 of Higson's paper. We start from the fact that $\langle \xi_{n_0\chi}, \pi_{n_0\chi}(g) \xi_{n_0\chi} \rangle = \int_K \langle \xi_{\mu_{n_0}\chi}(k)\ ,\ \xi_{\mu_{n_0}\chi}(g^{-1}k) \rangle dk$; after a change of variables $g \leftarrow g^{-1}k$, and inserting the necessary normalizations to have the $e_{\alpha\beta}$ appear, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{f}[p] &= \int_G \left(\int_K f(kg^{-1}) \langle \xi_{\mu_{n_0}\chi}(k), \xi_{\mu_{n_0}\chi}(g) \rangle dk \right) dg \\
&= \int_G \left(\int_K f(kg^{-1}) \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(K)^{1/2} d(\lambda)^{1/2} d(\mu_{n_0})^{1/2}} \sum_\alpha \overline{e_{\alpha_0\alpha}(k)} \langle v_\alpha, \xi_{\mu_{n_0}\chi}(g) \rangle dk \right) dg \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}(K) d(\lambda)^{1/2} d(\mu_{n_0})} \right)^{1/2} \sum_\alpha \int_G \mathrm{Vol}(K)\, (e_{\alpha\alpha_0} \star f)\,(g^{-1}) \langle v_\alpha, \xi_{\mu_{n_0}\chi}(g) \rangle dg \\
&= \frac{1}{d(\lambda) d(\mu_{n_0})} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{N_\chi} dn \int_{A_\chi} da \int_{M^{\mathfrak{p}}_\chi} dm \int_K du\ (e_{\alpha\alpha_0} \star f)(n^{-1}a^1 m^{-1} u^{-1}) e_{\alpha_0\beta}(u) \langle v_\alpha, \sigma(m^{-1}) v_\beta \rangle a^{-i\chi-\rho} \\
&= \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(K)}{d(\lambda) d(\mu_{n_0})} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \int_{N_\chi} dn \int_{A_\chi} da\, a^{-i\chi-\rho} \int_{M^{\mathfrak{p}}_\chi} \left[e_{\alpha\alpha_0} \star f \star e_{\alpha_0\beta} \right](n^{-1}a^{-1}m^{-1}) \langle v_\alpha, \sigma(m^{-1}) v_\beta \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

in which the stars are convolutions over K. To relate this to (4) we need to have the $d_{\alpha\beta}$ enter the formula in place of the $e_{\alpha\beta}$. We use (2) to observe that

$$e_{\alpha\alpha_0} \underset{K}{\star} f \underset{K}{\star} e_{\alpha_0\beta} = d_{\alpha\alpha_0} \underset{K_{i_0}}{\star} \left(e_{\alpha_0\alpha_0} \underset{K}{\star} f \underset{K}{\star} e_{\alpha_0\alpha_0} \right) \underset{K_{i_0}}{\star} d_{\alpha_0\beta}\ ;$$

because of our hypothesis on f this is equal to $d_{\alpha\alpha_0} \underset{K_{i_0}}{\star} f \underset{K_{i_0}}{\star} d_{\alpha_0\beta}$.

To get two convolutions on the right of f instead of one on each side of f, we shorten the above formula by writing $\Gamma^{n_0}_{\alpha\beta}(m)$ for $\langle v_\alpha, \sigma(m^{-1})v_\beta\rangle$, and we use the structure properties of the parabolic subgroup P_{i_0}, along with the fact that χ is K_χ-invariant, thus K_{i_0}-invariant because of the last point in Lemma 3.2.3, to obtain

$$\int_{N_{i_0}} dn \int_{A_{i_0}} da\ a^{-i\chi-\rho} \int_{M^{\mathfrak{p}}_{i_0}} \left[d_{\alpha\alpha_0} \star f \star d_{\alpha_0\beta}\right](n^{-1}a^{-1}m^{-1})\Gamma^{n_0}_{\alpha\beta}(m) =$$
$$\int_{N_{i_0}} dn \int_{M^{\mathfrak{p}}_{i_0}} dm\Gamma^{n_0}_{\alpha\beta}(m) \int_{K_{i_0}} dk_1 \int_{K_{i_0}} dk_2 \int_{A_{i_0}} da\ a^{-i\chi-\rho} d_{\alpha\alpha_0}(k_1) f(k_1^{-1}n^{-1}m^{-1}a^{-1}k_2) d_{\alpha_0\beta}(k_2) =$$
$$\int_{N_{i_0}} dn \int_{M^{\mathfrak{p}}_{i_0}} dm\Gamma^{n_0}_{\alpha\beta}(m) \int_{K_{i_0}} dk_1 \int_{K_{i_0}} dk_2 \int_{A_{i_0}} da\ a^{-i\chi-\rho} d_{\alpha\alpha_0}(k_1) f(n^{-1}m^{-1}a^{-1}k_1^{-1}k_2) d_{\alpha_0\beta}(k_2) =$$
$$\int_{N_{i_0}} dn \int_{A_{i_0}} da\ a^{-i\chi-\rho} \int_{M^{\mathfrak{p}}_{i_0}} \left[f \star d_{\alpha\alpha_0} \star d_{\alpha_0\beta}\right](n^{-1}a^{-1}m^{-1})\Gamma^{n_0}_{\alpha\beta}(m)$$

(between the first and second line, we used the fact that M_{i_0} centralizes A_{i_0}; between the second and third line, we used the fact that K_{i_0} is contained in M_{i_0}, and thus leaves A_{i_0} invariant and normalizes N_{i_0}, to perform the change of variables $m \leftarrow k_1^{-1}mk_1$, $n \leftarrow k_1^{-1}nk_1$, $a \leftarrow k_1^{-1}ak_1$). The lemma is now proved. □

Remark. Though the calculations are very close to those Higson needed for the Cartan motion group, the presence of a noncompact part in M_{i_0} makes the dependence of the transform $\widehat{f}[p]$ on p less simple than it was for complex groups. But we shall see that the more complicated terms will actually vanish as we perform the contraction to the Cartan motion group.

3.3 Subquotients of the Cartan motion group algebra

3.3.1. I will use the notations of sections 3.1 and 3.2 here. Define a closed ideal in the reduced $C^\star$ algebra $C^\star_r(G_0)$ by setting, as before,

$$\mathbf{J}^0[p] = \bigcap_{\lambda\in\mathcal{C}_p} C^\star_r(G_0)\mathbf{p}_\lambda C^\star_r(G_0),$$

and a subquotient of $C^\star_r(G_0)$ by setting

$$\mathbf{C}^0[p] = \left(\mathbf{J}[1] + ... + \mathbf{J}[p]\right) / \left(\mathbf{J}[1] + ... + \mathbf{J}[p-1]\right).$$

As before, the dual of $\mathbf{C}^0[p]$ gathers the irreducible representations of G_0 whose set of minimal K-types is exactly $\mathcal{C}_p$. Because of Proposition 2.1, we know that the dual of $\mathbf{C}^0[p]$ can be identified *as a set* with $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]$.

3.3.2. Lemma 3.2.2 is still applicable here of course, and $\mathbf{C}^0[p]$ is thus Morita-equivalent with the algebra of continuous functions, vanishing at infinity, on its dual – viewed as a topological space. To show how nice the correspondence between $\mathbf{C}^0[p]$ and $\mathbf{C}[p]$ is, the next step is to identify the dual of $\mathbf{C}^0[p]$ with $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]$ not only as a set, but also as a topological space, and to write down an analogue of Proposition 3.2.4. The explicit calculations in Higson's paper are actually sufficient for this, so instead of *imitating* the results of section

5.3 of [10], I shall *invoke* them.

3.3.3. For every χ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]$, Lemma 3.2.3 and Proposition 2.1 show that there is exactly one element $\mu_{p,\chi}$ in $\widehat{K_\chi}$ for which the set of minimal K-types of $\mathbf{M}_0(\chi,\mu_{p,\chi})$ is $\mathcal{C}_p$. Let us write W for the carrier vector space of $\mu_{p,\chi}$ (beware that the meaning of W is no longer the same as in section 3.2)

We shall now use Lemma 5.8 in [10]: we extend χ to $\mathfrak{p}$ by setting it equal to zero on the orthogonal of $\mathfrak{a}_\chi$, we set

$$\widehat{f}(\chi) = \frac{\mathrm{vol}(K)}{d(\lambda)} \int_{\mathfrak{p}} f(x) e^{i\langle \chi, x\rangle} dx$$

as soon as f is a smooth and compactly supported function on G_0, and realize $\mathbf{M}_0(\chi,\mu_{p,\chi})$ as the completion $\mathcal{H}_0$ of

$$\left\{ \xi : K \overset{\text{smooth}}{\longrightarrow} V \;\middle|\; \xi(gkx) = \mu_{p,\chi}(k)^{-1}\chi(x)^{-1}\xi(g) \text{ for } (k,x,g) \in K_\chi \times \mathfrak{p} \times G_0 \right\}$$

in the norm induced by the scalar product between restrictions to K. We write $\pi^0_{\chi,\mu}$ for the G_0-action on induced by left translation.

Now, let us return to semisimple groups for a second. When the λ_p-isotypical subspace V of $\mathcal{H}^\chi_{i_0,n_0}$ is viewed as a K-module, then it must contain the K_χ-type μ exactly once as before because the class of $\pi_{n_0,\chi}$ in $\widehat{G}_r$ is $\mathbf{M}(\chi,\mu_{p,\chi})$; as a consequence, we can obtain a unit vector $\zeta_{p\chi}$ in $\mathcal{H}_0$ by copying the definition of $\zeta_{n_0\chi}$ (the only difference is that what W stands for has changed).

The projection $\pi^0_{\chi,\mu}(\mathbf{p}_\lambda)$ has rank one because of Corollary 2.2. As detailed in Lemma 5.8 of [10], it agrees with the orthogonal projection on $\xi_{p,\chi}$, and the condition $\mathbf{p}_\lambda \underset{K}{\star} f \underset{K}{\star} \mathbf{p}_\lambda = f$ leads to the equality

$$\pi^0_{\chi,\mu}(f) = \widehat{f}(\chi)\ \pi^0_{\chi,\mu}(\mathbf{p}_\lambda).$$

Lemma 3.2.2 then says that is we view $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]$ as the dual of $\mathbf{C}^0[p]$ and equip it with the corresponding (locally compact and Hausdorff) Fell topology, then $\hat{f}$ becomes a continuous function of χ that vanishes at infinity. But of course $\widehat{f}$ is the ordinary Fourier transform of f, so that it is also a continuous function on $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]$ when the latter is equipped with the topology inherited from that of Euclidean space. We can summarize the situation with the following statement.

Proposition. *By associating to any smooth and compactly supported function f on G_0 such that $\mathbf{p}_\lambda \underset{K}{\star} f \underset{K}{\star} \mathbf{p}_\lambda$, the element $\widehat{f}$ of $\mathcal{C}_0(\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p])$, one obtains a $C^\star$-algebra isomorphism between $\mathbf{p}_\lambda \mathbf{C}^0[p]\mathbf{p}_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{C}_0(\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p])$.*

4 Deformation of the reduced C*-algebras and subquotients

This section will be very close to sections 6.2 and 6.3 of [10]; yet, the presence of a noncompact M in the parabolic subgroups which give rise to (4) will make it necessary to follow the matrix elements $\langle v_b, \sigma_{n_0}(m^{-1})\,[v_a]\rangle$ through the deformation, and this will lead me to slight changes of notation. Because the setting used in [1] is appropriate for the necessary adaptation, it will be easier for me to define the deformation $\{G_t\}$ to make it coincide with that in [1].

4.1 The continuous field of reduced group $C^\star$ algebras

Let me recall the setting used in [1]: there I worked with a family $\{G_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$ of groups, together with an isomorphism

$$\varphi_t : G_t \to G$$

for each $t \neq 0$. For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, the group G_t was *equal* as a topological space with $K\times\mathfrak{p}$, and there is a natural smooth family of measures on $K\times\mathfrak{p}$ giving a Haar measure for each group.

Now let me set

$$\mathcal{G} := \bigsqcup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} G_t.$$

There is a natural bijection

$$\mathcal{G} \to G_0 \cup (G\times\mathbb{R}^\times) \tag{5}$$

which is the identity on G_0 and sends $g_t \in G_t$ to $(\varphi_t(g_t), t) \in G\times\mathbb{R}^\times$. Higson recalled on pages 18-19 of [10] how $G_0 \cup (G\times\mathbb{R}^\times)$ has a natural structure of smooth manifold; using the above bijection, we transfer this structure so that $\mathcal{G}$ becomes a smooth manifold, too.

We can consider the reduced $C^\star$ algebra of each G_t once we equip G_t with the Haar measure in the family mentioned above.

Since we chose the manifold structure on $\mathcal{G}$ to make it diffeomorphic with the version used in Higson's paper, lemma 6.13 in [10] shows that the field

$$\{C_r^\star(G_t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}}$$

is a continuous field of $C^\star$-algebras. Let me write $\mathcal{C}$ for the $C^\star$ algebra of continuous sections of the restriction of the continuous field $\{C_r^\star(G_t)\}$ to the interval [0,1].

4.2 Subquotients of the continuous field and their spectra

Using the notations of section 3.2, let $\mathcal{J}[p]$ be the ideal $\bigcap_{\lambda\in\mathcal{C}_p} \mathcal{C}\mathbf{p}_\lambda\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{C}$. Define a subquotient of $\mathcal{C}$ by

$$\mathcal{C}[p] = (\mathcal{J}[1] + ...\mathcal{J}[p]) \,\big/\, (\mathcal{J}[1] + ... + \mathcal{J}[p-1])\,.$$

Higson explained on page 20 of [10] how the dual of $\mathcal{C}[p]$ can be identified with $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]\times[0,1]$ as a set: the algebra $\mathcal{Z}$ of continuous functions on the closed interval $[0,1]$ lies in the center of the multiplier algebra of $\mathcal{C}[p]$, so that if $\mathcal{Z}^t$ is the subalgebra of functions which vanish at t for t in $[0,1]$, the dual of $\mathcal{C}[p]$ can[5] be identified as a set with the disjoint union over t of the duals of the quotient algebras $\mathcal{C}[p]/(\mathcal{Z}^t\mathcal{C}[p])$. But of course, the algebra $\mathcal{C}[p]/(\mathcal{Z}^t\mathcal{C}[p])$ is isomorphic with the subquotient $\mathbf{C}^t[p]$ of the group algebra $C_r^\star(G_t)$, and we saw that it can be identified with $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]$.

To proceed further, we need to see how the dual of $\mathcal{C}[p]$ can be identified with $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]\times[0,1]$ as a topological space. Now, suppose f is a smooth and compactly supported function on $\mathcal{G}$. Write f_t for its restriction to a smooth and compactly supported function on G_t. Write

[5] with each t, we need only associate the subset of the dual of $\mathcal{C}[p]$ gathering the representations which restrict to zero on $\mathcal{Z}^t\mathcal{C}[p]$

$M_{i_0,t}A_{i_0,t}N_{i_0,t}$ for the cuspidal parabolic subgroup subgroup $\varphi_t^{-1}P$ of G_t, and note that it comes with an ordering on the $\mathfrak{a}_{i_0,t}$-roots and the half-sum ρ_t of positive roots. Suppose $\sigma_{n_0}^t$ is an irreducible representation of $M_{i_0,t}$ with equivalence class $\mathbf{V}_{M_{i_0,t}}(\mu_{n_0})$. Choose a K_{i_0}-equivariant identification between the K_{i_0}-invariant subspace of the Hilbert space for $\sigma_{n_0}^t$ which carries its minimal K_{i_0}-type, and the subspace W of σ_{n_0}. Write (v_a^t) for the basis of that subspace thus associated to (v_a). Gather the transforms considered in sections 3.2 and 3.3, and thus set

$$\widehat{f}_{a,b}^{\,p}(\chi,t) = \begin{cases} \delta_{a,b} \int_{\mathfrak{p}} f_0(x)\chi(x)dx & \text{if } t=0, \\ \int_{N_{i_0,t}} dn_t \int_{A_{i_0}} da_t \; a_t^{i\chi+\rho_t} \int_{M_{i_0,t}^{\mathfrak{p}}} dm_t \left(f_t \star d_{\alpha_0 a} \star d_{b\alpha_0}\right)(n_t a_t m_t) \left\langle v_b^t, \sigma_{n_0}^t(m_t^{-1})\left[v_a^t\right]\right\rangle & \text{if } t\neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

for χ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]$. Last, define

$$\widehat{f}^{\,p} = (\chi,t) \mapsto \sum_{a,b=1}^{d(\mu_{n_0})} \widehat{f}_{a,b}^{\,p}(\chi,t), \tag{7}$$

a map from $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]\times[0,1]$ to $\mathbb{C}$.

I will have finished setting the stage for the Connes-Kasparov isomorphism if I check the following fact.

Lemma. *Formula* (7) *defines a smooth function on* $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]\times[0,1]$.

An apparent annoyance to prove this is that the subgroups on which the integrals are taken depend on t. In order to write down (6) as an integral over a space which does not depend on t, let me write $\mathfrak{y}_{i_0}$ for $\mathfrak{p}\cap\left(\mathfrak{a}_{i_0}\oplus\mathfrak{m}_{i_0}^{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^{\perp}$ and

$$\beta_t : \mathfrak{y}_{i_0} \to \mathfrak{n}_{i_0,t}$$

for the inverse of $n\mapsto n+n^{\theta}$ (the Cartan involution of $\mathfrak{g}_t$ does not depend on t). Then using exponential coordinates for $\exp_{G_t}(\mathfrak{p})$, remarking that $\mathfrak{m}_{i_0}^{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_{i_0}$ are $d\varphi_t(0)$-invariant and inserting the fact that ρ_t is none other than $t\rho$ (Lemma 4.2 in [1]), we can rewrite $\widehat{f}_{a,b}^{\,p}(\chi,t)$ as

$$\int_{\mathfrak{y}_{i_0}} dy \int_{\mathfrak{a}_{i_0}} da\, e^{i\langle\chi,a\rangle}\, e^{\langle\rho,ta\rangle} \int_{\mathfrak{m}_{i_0}^{\mathfrak{p}}} dm \left(f\star d_{\alpha_0 a}\star d_{b\alpha_0}\right)\left(\exp_{G_t}(\beta_t y)\exp_{G_t}(a)\exp_{G_t}(m)\right)\left\langle v_b^t, \sigma_{n_0}^t(\exp_{G_t}(-m))\left[v_a^t\right]\right\rangle$$

for $t\neq 0$.

Now I will take up the discussion on page 20 of [10] and use it to remark that f is a smooth, compactly supported function on $\mathcal{G}$ if and only if there is a smooth and compactly supported function F on $K\times\mathfrak{m}_{\chi}^{\mathfrak{p}}\times\mathfrak{a}_{\chi}\times\mathfrak{y}_{\chi}\times\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$F(k,m,a,y) = \begin{cases} f_0(k,m+a+y) \text{ if } t=0; \\ f_t\left(k\exp_{G_t}(m)\exp_{G_t}(a)\exp_{G_t}(\beta_t y)\right) \text{ if } t\neq 0. \end{cases}$$

where f_t is the restriction of f to G_t (compose (5) with the proof of Lemma 6.17 in [10]).

Once we insert this, as well as the relationship between $\sigma^t_{n_0}$ and σ detailed in sections 5 and 6 of [1], into (6), we can rewrite $\widehat{f}^{\,p}_{a,b}(\chi,t)$ as

$$\int_{\mathfrak{y}_{i_0}} dy \int_{\mathfrak{a}_{i_0}} da e^{i\langle\chi,a\rangle}\ e^{\langle\rho,ta\rangle} \int_{\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{i_0}} dm\,[F\star d_{\alpha_0 a}\star d_{b\alpha_0}]\,(1,m,a,y,t)\langle v_b,\sigma_{n_0}(\exp_G(-tm))\,[v_a]\rangle$$

for $t\neq 0$.

Recall that for fixed p, I considered a $\lambda\in\mathcal{C}_p$ above, and chose a definition for $\mathbf{p}_\lambda$. To check that (6) does define a continuous function as soon as f induces an element of $\mathbf{C}[p]$, it is now enough to remember that $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{m}^{\mathfrak{p}}_{i_0}+\mathfrak{a}_{i_0}+\mathfrak{y}_{i_0}$, and to use the Lebesgue (dominated convergence) theorem, the fact that (v_a) is an orthonormal basis, and the Schur-Weyl relations on the matrix elements d. □

Adding this to the results of Section 3, we obtain a statement which sumarizes the rather vague point (c) in the list of the Introduction:

Proposition B. *If f is a smooth and compactly supported function on G_0 and if $\mathbf{p}_\lambda f\mathbf{p}_\lambda=f$, then its transfom $\widehat{f}^{\,p}$ is a continuous function on $\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]\times[0,1]$, and it vanishes at infinity. This determines an isomorphism of $C^\star$-algebras*

$$\mathbf{p}_\lambda\mathcal{C}[p]\mathbf{p}_\lambda\to\mathcal{C}_0\left(\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p]\times[0,1]\right).$$

5 The Connes-Kasparov isomorphism

We now have gathered all the ingredients needed to prove the Connes-Kasparov conjecture. The argument is not only close to, it is identical with, that in section 7 of [10], and nothing in this section is original in any way.

Evaluation at $t=0$ and $t=1$ induce $C^\star$-algebra morphisms from $\mathcal{C}$ to $C^\star_r(G_0)$ and $C^\star_r(G)$, respectively, and in turn these induce two homomorphisms $\alpha_0:\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})\to\mathcal{K}(C^\star_r(G_0))$ and $\alpha_1:\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C})\to\mathcal{K}(C^\star_r(G_1))$. Because the field $\{C^\star(G_t)\}_{t\in]0,1]}$ (with $t=0$ excluded) is trivial, α_0 is an isomorphism.

Now, the composition

$$\alpha_1\circ\alpha_0^{-1}:\mathcal{K}(C^\star(G_0))\to\mathcal{K}(C^\star(G)) \tag{8}$$

is quite relevant to the Connes-Kasparov conjecture, because there is a natural isomorphism between $\mathcal{K}(C^\star(G_0))$ and $R(K)$, and that viewed through this isomorphism, (8) is none other than the Connes-Kasparov morphism (1). So we shall have reached the aim if we prove that the map α_1, induced by evaluation at $t=1$, is an isomorphism.

Because $\bigcup_{p\in\mathbb{N}}(\mathcal{J}[1]+...\mathcal{J}[p])$ is dense in $\mathcal{C}$ and because K-theory commutes with direct limits, we need only prove that evaluation at $t=1$ yields an isomorphism between $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{J}[1]+..++\mathcal{J}[p])$ and $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{J}[1]+..++\mathbf{J}[p])$ for every p. By standard cohomological arguments, this will be attained if we prove that evaluation at $t=1$ induces an isomorphism

between $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}[p])$ and $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{C}[p])$.

At this point we recall that for fixed p, the algebras $\mathbf{p}_{\lambda_p}\mathbf{C}[p]\mathbf{p}_{\lambda_p}$ and $\mathbf{C}[p]\mathbf{p}_{\lambda_p}\mathbf{C}[p]$ are Morita-equivalent; therefore the inclusion from $\mathbf{p}_{\lambda_p}\mathbf{C}[p]\mathbf{p}_{\lambda_p}$ to $\mathbf{C}[p]$ induces an isomorphism in K-theory. The problem then reduces to showing that evaluation at $t = 1$ induces an isomorphism between $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{p}_{\lambda_p}\mathcal{C}[p]\mathbf{p}_{\lambda_p})$ and $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{p}_{\lambda_p}\mathbf{C}[p]\mathbf{p}_{\lambda_p})$.

We now insert Proposition C and Proposition A to find that between $\mathcal{K}(\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p] \times [0,1])$ and $\mathcal{K}(\widehat{\mathfrak{a}}[p])$, evaluation at $t = 1$ *does* induce an isomorphism: this is the homotopy invariance of K-theory. With this the proof that α_1 is an isomorphism is complete.

Remark. It is likely that Skukalek's results which extend Higson's work to almost connected Lie groups with complex semisimple identity component [23] can be used extend the above result to almost connected Lie groups with reductive identity component as well (note that these groups may be nonreductive, or may get out of Harish-Chandra's class if they are).

References

[1] A. Afgoustidis, *How tempered representations of a semisimple Lie group contract to its Cartan motion group* (2015), arXiv:1510.02650.

[2] M. Atiyah and W. Schmid, *A geometric construction of the discrete series for semisimple Lie groups*, Inventiones mathematicae **54(2)** (1977), 189-192.

[3] J. Arthur, *A theorem on the Schwartz space of a reductive Lie group*, Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. **72(12)** (1975), 4718-9

[4] P. Baum, A. Connes and N. Higson, *Classifying space for proper actions and K-theory of group $C^\star$-algebras*, Contemporary Mathematics **167**, 241-291.

[5] A. Connes, *Noncommutative geometry.* Springer Berlin Heidelberg 1994

[6] A. Connes and N. Higson, *Déformations, morphismes asymptotiques et K-théorie bi-invariante*, C.R.Acad. Sci. Paris, t. **311** (1990), série I, pp. 101-106.

[7] J. Chabert, S. Etcherhoff and R. Nest, *The Connes-Kasparov isomorphism for almost connected groups and for p-adic groups*, **311** (1990), série I, pp. 101-106.

[8] C. Y. George, *The Mackey analogy for $SL(n,\mathbb{R})$*, Ph.D. Thesis, Pennsylvania State University, 2009.

[9] N. Higson, *The Baum-Connes Conjecture*, Proceedings of the Berlin ICM, 1998.

[10] N. Higson, *The Mackey analogy and K-theory*, Contemporary Mathematics **449** (2008), 149.

[11] N. Higson, *On the Analogy Between Complex Semisimple Groups and Their Cartan Motion Groups*, Contemporary Mathematics **546** (2011), 137.

[12] E. Inonû and E. P. Wigner, *On the contraction of groups and their representations*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **39** (1953), 510-524.

[13] G. Kasparov, *Operator K-theory and its applications: Elliptic operator, Group representations, Higher signatures, $C^\star$-extensions*, Proceedings of the Warsaw ICM, 1983.

[14] A. W. Knapp, *Representation theory of semisimple groups: An overview based on examples*, 2nd ed., Princeton university press, Princeton 2001.

[15] A. W. Knapp and G. Zuckerman, *Classification of Irreducible Tempered Representations of Semisimple Groups, Part I.* Annals of Mathematics **116(2)** (1982), 389-455.

[16] A. W. Knapp and G. Zuckerman, *Classification of Irreducible Tempered Representations of Semisimple Groups, Part II.* Annals of Mathematics **116(2)** (1982), 457-492.

[17] V. Lafforgue , *KK théorie bivariante pour les algèbres de Banach et conjecture de Baum-Connes*, Inventiones Mathematicae **149** (2002), 1-95.

[18] V. Lafforgue , *Banach KK theory and the Baum-Connes conjecture*, Proc. ICM Beijing 2002, arXiv:math/0304342.

[19] G. W. Mackey, *On the analogy between semisimple Lie groups and certain related semi-direct product groups*, in: Lie groups and their representations (Summer School, Bolyai János Math. Soc., Budapest, 1971) Halsted, New York (1975), pp. 339-363.

[20] R. Parthasarathy, *Dirac operator and the discrete series.* Annals of mathematics **??** (1972), 1-30.

[21] M. Penington and R. Plymen, *The Dirac operator and the principal series for complex semisimple Lie groups*, J. Functional. Anal. **53** (1983), 269-286.

[22] G. Skandalis, *Progrès récents sur la conjecture de Baum-Connes. Contribution de Vincent Lafforgue.* Séminaire Bourbaki **42** (1999-2000), 105-135

[23] J. R. Skukalek, *The Higson-Mackey analogy for finite extensions of complex semisimple groups*, Journal of Noncommutative Geometry 2015, `http://arxiv.org/abs/1505.06116v1`.

[24] A. Valette, *K-theory for the reduced $C^\star$-algebra of a semisimple Lie group with real rank 1 and finite centre*, Oxford Q. J. Math. **35** (1984), 341-359.

[25] D. Vogan, *Classification of the irreducible representations of semisimple Lie groups*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA Vol. **74**, No.7 (july 1977) , pp. 2649-2650.

[26] D. Vogan, *The algebraic structure of the representations of semisimple Lie groups I*, Annals of Mathematics **109(1)** (1979), 1-60.

[27] D. Vogan, *Classifying representations by lowest K-types*, In Applications of group theory in physics and mathematical physics, volume 21 of Lecture Notes in Applied Mathematics, pages 269-288. AMS, Providence, RI, 1985.

[28] A. Wassermann, *Une démonstration de la conjecture de Connes-Kasparov pour les groupes de Lie linéaires connexes réductifs*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér 1 **304** (1987), 559- 562.